

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/015,069	10/26/2001	Dennis Neufeldt	GOW 0086 PA	9473	
75	10/07/2003		EXAMINER		
Killworth, Gottman, Hagan & Schaeff, L.L.P. One Dayton Centre, Suite 500			BRATLIE, STEVEN A		
Dayton, OH 4			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652		
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\sim$				
	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/0	15067	Newfeldt				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		ATLIE	363 2				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply			•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) This action is FINAL.	36(a). In no ever y within the statut will apply and will , cause the appli g date of this com	nt, however, may a reply be ting in the control ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE munication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s)24-45 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>24-45</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on 6/26/is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen							
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		•					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u>6,7</u>		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04.01) Office A	acti n Summa	rv	Part of Paper No.				

Application/Control Number: 10/015,069

Art Unit: 3652

1. The drawings are objected to because draftsman report mailed with the Office action of 8/7/03. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 24-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ehrick, et al in view of Booth, Matsumoto, Neelly et al and Pickler.

Ehrick, et al discloses a substantially similar handling apparatus except that the piston is not double sided, there is no hopper structure, there is no expandable loader structure. Booth discloses the use of a double sided piston with hopper structure. Matsumoto discloses the use of a loader. Neelly et al and Picker disclose the use of expandable loaders. It would have been obvious to a mechanic with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide these features to the primary

Application/Control Number: 10/015,069

Art Unit: 3652

reference. The motivation is to provide for greater and faster compaction, and easier loading.

- 5. The remaining references are cited to show similar structure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Bratlie whose telephone number is (703) 308-2669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 to 5:00. Friday is the examiner's day off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

STEVEN A. BRATLIE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Bratlie/kn October 6, 2003